SECRET

Approved For Release 2004/05/12 : CIA-REP68B00724R000100010010-9

25X1		Copy_3	
		21 June 1966	
			4
			1
		G : 2 Soumity Center OS	
	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Chief, Special Security Center, OS	:
	SUBJECT:	Security Procedures for NRP Study,	:
		Applied Research and Advanced Development Projects	
0EV4		·	
25X1	REFERENCE:		
	1. Unfortu	inately, but perhaps necessarily, the	
		not provide any real answer to the key an R&D study or developmental project	
		ac into/	25X1
5X1	\	ason the answer is difficult to fashion ctors, mainly political and often other	
	than security.	come into play. Plactically spotting,	
25X1		or an immediate aftermath of, a fund-	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	with the DNRO or his staff. If, from point, the Program Director or his	
ş		Fina Thomedives illianciality Figs	•
; ;	1 C +1 1	NRP, then more than likely the effort d as a unilateral CIA or USAF effort.	
•			
:	1 thing thing	ove situation is not necessarily a from a security standpoint as long as	.4
25X1		ity units strictly adhere to and physical security programing for	**
	Li - offenta in	MILEST IN TOLE OF TOPE "THE	
1	the Reference i	s saying in paragraphs o.c. and o.c.	
1	appear earlier	in the paper in an effort to highlight	
	and emphasize t	the point.	
05V4	3. In par	agraph 5.b. there seems to be tacit	
25X1	acceptance of t	the idea of using current projects	
	NRO review(s) cor	npleted.	
		-	

GROUP 1 Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification

SECRET

Approved For Release 2004/05/12 : CIA-RDP69B60724R000100010010-9

25X	.1
Page 2	
as umbrellas to cover R&D efforts in their embryonic stages of development. In the face of the confusion that such an approach can and has caused being processed for individuals for use on USAF's S-2 efforts, etc.), it is hard to comprehend why this is ever necessary when a PSAA approval can be used as the clearance instrument with no loss in security criteria nor chaos in the records. In addition there is the awkwardness that sets in when there are no previous approvals to use as a basis for negotiation or interaction with a company. Does SSC endorse the practice of using the DOD Secret clearance as a substitute for the approval in cases such as this?	25X1
4. As previously noted, the concern persists here that the Director of Security should not be a very vocal exponent of the "NRP Registry" idea even though to the outside world this is so logical a solution. The concept is politically loaded and undoubtedly will draw heavy fire from Project Directors who feel the need to pursue R&D interests in an autonomous fashion up to the point of establishing feasibility and reliability for their projects. Accordingly, it is recommended that the registry notion be portrayed in the Reference in a more low key fashion as merely one approach that might be taken.	
5. The above comments nowithstanding, the Reference, as written, does not pose serious problems to this office, and if need be it can be followed in this program with no loss in security flexibility. On this basis, you can consider this a concurrence from here if this approach is deemed mandatory by the SSC.	.5
25X	1
Chief, Security Staff OSA	

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/05/15 EGRET P68B00724R000100010010-9

GROUP 1 Excluded from automati downgroding and declassification

SECRET Approved for Release 2004/05/12 : CIA-RDP69B66724R000100010010-9

	25X ²
Page 3	_

25X1

OSA/SS/ Distribution:

Orig - Addressee #2 - SS/OSA #3 - RB/OSA